Learning objectives:
1. Understand the Alzheimer’s disease pathophysiologic process.
2. Use the new diagnostic criteria to diagnose Alzheimer’s disease dementia and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to the Alzheimer’s disease pathophysiologic process.
3. Distinguish Alzheimer’s disease from other common causes of cognitive impairment and dementia.
4. Treat Alzheimer’s disease and other common causes of cognitive impairment and dementia.

I. Dementia Prevalence
   A. Increases geometrically with age
      1. 5-10% of individuals > age 65
      2. 50% of those > age 85
   B. Alzheimer’s disease is by far the most common form of dementia, affecting about 7 out of every 10 patients.
   C. Distribution of pathology in Alzheimer’s disease:

   ![Diagram of brain with labeled regions]

   - Cortex of frontal lobe
   - Cortex of parietal lobe
   - Cortex of occipital lobe
   - Precentral and postcentral gyri
   - Superior temporal lobe
   - Inferolateral temporal lobe
   - Hippocampus
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A. Structure of appointment:
- **With both patient & caregiver:** brief hx per patient’s perspective, PMH, All, Meds, SHx including education & occupation, FHx (25-40% of AD patients have at least one other afflicted relative) (10 min)
- **Caregiver alone:** History per caregiver’s perspective. Key questions: (1) What was the first symptom suggesting impairment, and (2) when did it occur? (3) What was the pace of the decline? Was it gradual or stepwise? (4) What cognitive areas are currently impaired & (5) which are the most prominent? (15 min)
- **Patient alone:** Physical, Neurological & Cognitive exam. (20 min)
- **Both patient & caregiver:** Assessment, further work-up and/or treatment plan. (15 min)
- Do in two visits if necessary. (An extra 10 minutes each on the history and cognitive exam is worth at least as much diagnostically as a PET or SPECT scan, neuropsychological testing, expert referral, etc., and is much more cost effective.)

B. History: (Although classically in neurology exam tells where, and history tells what, history often tells where as well as what in dementia.)

****Need to talk with caregiver/child/spouse alone****
- **General:** Gradual and insidious onset over months to years, not stepwise (ask about when retired and why; keep checkbook; do taxes; continue community participation; etc.)
- **Hippocampal:** Inability to learn new information, with striking preservation of older memories initially (repeats self; needs to be told information multiple times; misses appointments).
- **Temporal:** Word finding difficulties. Disruption in the semantic storage and retrieval of linguistic information (anomia, not just for names of people; empty speech).
- **Parietal:** Visuo-spatial deficits (difficulty planning routes; gets lost; cannot draw intersecting pentagons).
- **Frontal:** (late) Dysexecutive syndrome (disinhibition; aggression; agitation; also much worse memory, attention and other cognitive functions).

C. General Exam: Check for cervical bruits; look for signs of systemic disease (COPD, liver failure, etc.)

D. Neuro exam:
- **Inconsistent:** focal signs suggesting strokes, subdural fluid collections, tumors, etc.; signs suggesting Parkinson’s (rigidity, tremor, etc.), PSP (no downgaze) or other neurodegenerative disease. (Note: some patients have both PD and AD.)
- **Supportive (early):** none
- **Supportive (mid to late):** Brisk reflexes, extensor plantars, snout, grasp, palmomental reflexes. (These are, however, not sensitive or specific.)

E. Cognitive exam:
Use one simple global cognitive exam to evaluate cognitive function.
*Use the MMSE—but copyrights held by Psychological Assessments Resources.*
Use the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)—my new favorite—test & instructions below.

Useful for:
- Establishing the pattern of deficits
- Evaluation of drug effects (typical increases of 2-3 points are seen on both MoCA & MMSE)
- Annual comparisons (typical yearly decline of 2-3 points/year are seen on both MoCA & MMSE).

**Pattern of deficits on the MoCA:**
- **Delayed Recall** tests new learning which is always impaired in early AD and MCI due to AD.
- **Orientation** tests recent memory, which is generally impaired in early AD, particularly the date.
- Other tests, including **Visuospatial/Executive**, **Naming**, **Attention**, **Language**, & **Abstraction** typically become more impaired as patients progress from early to moderate AD. These tests should be more or less intact in patients with MCI due to AD.

**Other tests.** Useful if the pattern from the MoCA is unclear:
- **Word Fluency:** Intact individuals generate more words to categories (animals, vegetables, fruits; 12-15 or > for each), than letters (F, A, S; 10-12 or > for each); early AD patients show opposite pattern, i.e. can generate more words to letters than categories. (This is the only test that uses the patient as their own control.)
  
  Instructions: “Tell me all the words that you can think of in 1 minute that begin with a certain letter. You cannot use names or different forms of the same words. For example, if the letter was ‘R’ you could not say Richard or Roger or Rochester, because those are names. You could say ‘run’ but then you could not say ‘runs,’ ‘running,’ or ‘ran’ because those are different forms of the same word. The first letter is F….” Prompt patients if they do not give any words for any 15 second block. For efficiency, only do as many letters as needed to establish pattern or normality. For categories: “Now we’re going to do the same thing only different. I want you to tell me all the words you can think of that are all in the same category (which I will give you). The words can begin with any letters. You can say both big subcategories, as well as small individual items. For example, if the category was ‘furniture,’ you could not only say ‘tables,’ ‘desks,’ and ‘chairs,’ but also ‘armchair,’ ‘high chair,’ ‘rocker,’ ‘recliner,’ etc. The first category is ‘animals’…” Again, prompt patients after no response for 15 seconds, and only do as many categories as necessary to either establish a pattern or normality.

- **Attention:** **Simple** (always intact in early AD): Digit span forwards, 1 to 20, months forwards, registration (remembering words etc. w/o distraction for 30-60 seconds).
- **Attention:** **Complex** (may be impaired in early AD): Digit span backwards, 20 to 1, months backwards, calculations.
- **Memory** (always impaired in early AD): (note: registration must be intact) drilled word span (= to 1 less than digit span forwards), story “Bill and Tom went fishing…”, others. If they don’t get the words on free recall, check cued recall and recognition.
- **Remote Memory** (often intact in early AD): Presidents, personal information.
Language (usually intact early, except for naming): naming high and low
frequency objects (watch & band, pencil & point), writing sentence,
comprehension, repetition, (empty speech late).
Visuospatial (may be impaired in early AD): copy figure
F. Laboratory tests: TSH, B12, Vit D. Also screen for encephalopathy / delirium: CBC,
lytes, BUN, Cr, glucose, LFTs, Ca, alb. If clinically indicated: RPR, Lyme titre.
G. Imaging: MR is better for judging atrophy (esp. hippocampal), better for small vessel
disease, better for evaluating for unusual conditions. CT is adequate, and better for
agitated patients. (Everyone deserves at least one image in the course of their disease.
E.g. a subdural on top of AD may explain the patient’s current presentation—AD
patients don’t remember their falls!)
H. Additional w/u:
Behavioral Neurology Evaluation: (1.5-2 hrs over 1-2 visits with neurologist who
has additional training in dementias.) Review history, imaging, interviews
with patient & caregiver, general and neurological examinations, 20-30 minute
cognitive exam. Especially important for complicated patients or those
seeking second opinion of specialist. (Will follow patient if you desire.)
Neuropsychological Evaluation: (1-1.5 hrs with neuropsychologist (Ph.D.) + 3-6
hrs with technician for cognitive testing, over 1-3 visits.) Helpful if after your
own thorough w/u including 15-20 min cognitive exam it is necessary to better
characterize the existing deficit to make a diagnosis. E.g. to help determine the
contributions of depression to a memory disorder, or to help evaluate someone
who has an above average IQ and educational background such that although
they perform normally on your office tests, you still suspect they are impaired.
[Caution: all neuropsychologists are NOT equal when it comes to diagnosing
dementia; only refer your patients to ones with experience in dementias.]
Brain FDG PET or 99Tc SPECT: Nuclear medicine tests. Useful for confirming
diagnosis of atypical dementia, or AD in a young patient (< age 65). In AD
Expect temporal and parietal hypoperfusion. Moderate sensitivity and
specificity. In correct clinical setting, areas of medial temporal, temporal, or
parietal hypoperfusion suggests AD regardless of the official interpretation.
PET and SPECT scans (unlike MRI) will often show significant changes from
one year to the next, making them a useful follow-up test in the setting of
previous negative work-up. In frontotemporal dementia, PET and SPECT
shows abnormalities in frontal lobes; in corticobasal degeneration there are
abnormalities in parietal lobes; in Lewy body disease there are abnormalities
similar to AD but also in occipital lobes; in primary progressive aphasia
abnormalities are in left perisylvian areas. Some pathologies yield multifocal
patterns: e.g., Lyme disease, cerebral vasculitis.
Florbetapir (Amyvid), flutemetamol (Vizamyl), or florbetaben (Neuraceq) PET:
Nuclear medicine tests. Useful for confirming diagnosis of AD in a young
patient (< age 65) or at any age when being sure that the patient has AD would
significantly alter prognosis or treatment. About 90% sensitivity and
specificity.
CSF Aβ & tau: laboratory study. Useful for confirming diagnosis of AD in a
young patient (< age 65) or at any age when being sure that the patient has AD
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would significantly alter prognosis or treatment. About 85% sensitivity and specificity.

I. Apply new criteria for AD and MCI due to AD (see review from Budson & Solomon, 2012, at end of handout)


A. D/c or change anticholinergic agents, sedatives, etc.

B. To enhance cognition:

1. **donepezil (generic as Aricept).** Cholinesterase inhibitor. Main side effects are: anorexia, nausea, & diarrhea (occur infrequently, <1 out of 10), also vivid dreams. (Additionally, need to use non-cholinergic paralytic agent for anesthesia; i.e. no succinyl choline) Start with 5mg QD, increase to 10 mg after 4-6 weeks if tolerated. 23 mg tablet available for moderate to severe AD; data suggests it may improve cognition but side-effects (anorexia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea) also more common. Low income assistance program available. Produces a noticeable improvement in most patients. FDA approved for mild, moderate, and severe AD.

2. **rivastigmine (Exelon).** Cholinesterase inhibitor. Side-effects are more than donepezil in capsule form, but rivastigmine is now available in a QD patch which has comparable efficacy and fewer side-effects than any drug in this class. Start 4.6 mg/24 hr patch; can increase to 9.5 mg/24 hr patch after one month, and 13.3 mg/24 hr patch after that. Note: 13.3 mg/24 hr patch has more side-effects than 9.5 mg/24 hr patch; most patients do best with the 9.5 mg/24 hr patch. The rivastigmine patch is FDA approved for mild to moderate AD and mild to moderate dementia associated with Parkinson’s disease (Lewy Body Dementia).

3. **galantamine (now generic, formerly Razadyne, formerly Reminyl).** Cholinesterase inhibitor. Similar to Donepezil. Immediate release (IR) and extended release (ER) formulations available; ER is both easier to use and has fewer side-effects (due to lower serum peak levels). ER: Start with 8 mg QD and increase after 4 weeks to 16 mg QD. Can also go to 24 mg QD. IR: 4 mg bid and increase after 4 weeks to 8 mg bid. Can also go to 12 mg bid.

References for cholinesterase inhibitors:

- Improves cognition, participation in activities of daily living, & global function in mild to moderate patients with AD:
  - Donepezil: Neurology 1998;50:136
  - Rivastigmine: BMJ 1999;318:633
  - Galantamine: Neurology 2000;54:2261

- Improves cognition & behavior in mild to moderate and moderate to severe patients with AD
  - Galantamine: Neurology 2000;54:2269
• Delay to nursing home placement by up to 2 years:
  • Donepezil: J Am Ger Soc 2003;51:937
• Reduces healthcare expenditures because treatment costs are offset by reductions in other healthcare expenditures.
  • Galantamine: Neurology 2000;57:972
  • Donepezil: Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders 2003;15:44
• Reduces caregiver time by over 1 hour per day
  • Galantamine: Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2003;18:942
• Mild Cognitive Impairment
• Vascular Dementia
  • Galantamine: Lancet 2002;359:1283
  • Donepezil: Neurology 2003;61:479
• Lewy Body Dementia
  • Rivastigmine: Lancet 2000;356:2031
• Neuropsychiatric inventory meta-analysis
  • JAMA 2003;289:210
• Responders and non-responders
  • 25-30% show an improvement equivalent to a 1 year reversal of symptoms
  • 50-60% show an improvement equivalent to a 6-month reversal of symptoms
  • 10-15% show either less than a 6-month reversal of symptoms or no significant improvement
  • NEJM 2004;351:56.
• How long to use them?
  • Studies suggest at least 4 to 5 years (CNS Drugs 2004;18:757)
  • Recommend: continue as long as there is quality of life to preserve.
  • In patients with moderate or severe Alzheimer’s disease, continued treatment with donepezil was associated with cognitive benefits that exceeded the minimum clinically important difference and with significant functional benefits over the course of 12 months. (NEJM 2012; 366: 893-903)

See Figure below for Treatment outcomes curves.

Treatment expectations for cholinesterase inhibitors:
• Small but noticeable improvements:
  – Less time spent looking for keys, glasses, etc.
  – Repeats self less often
  – Dwells in past less
  – Easier time keeping track of conversation
  – More engaged, outgoing
• Will decline over time even if the cholinesterase is working.
Treatment side effects:
• Gastrointestinal effects
  – anorexia
  – nausea/vomiting
  – diarrhea
• Vivid dreams
  – take in AM or earlier PM dose
• Other cholinergic symptoms
  – rarely slows heart rate
  – muscle cramps
  – increased salivation
  – rhinorrhea
  – can exacerbate existing ulcers (but not known to cause them)

4. Huperzine A (Cerebra). Available w/o prescription as a nutritional product. Cholinesterase inhibitor. Similar (perhaps fewer) side effects. 100 mcg of huperzine A BID is equivalent to 5 mg donepezil QHS. Effects are somewhat less impressive. (Obviously, this drug should not be used with other cholinesterase inhibitors.) http://www.nutrapharm.com/

5. Memantine (Namenda). Two mechanisms: 1. Uncompetitive antagonist at the NMDA glutamate receptor. 2. Dopamine agonist. Approved for use in moderate to severe patients (MMSE 15/Blessed 15 or worse). Works well with cholinesterase inhibitors—both are better than either one alone. Improves both cognition and behavior—particularly agitation. Side-effects uncommon, < 1
out of 10. Main side-effect is drowsiness, confusion, and dizziness which is dose related, often transient, and worse in milder patients. A few patients have experienced changes in blood pressure. Old pills are 10 mg. Start at half a pill, then increase weekly by half a pill: ½ qd, then ½ bid, then ½ in AM and 1 in PM, then 1 bid. Can prescribe memantine “titration pack” disp #1 sig. Use as directed, followed by memantine 10 mg BID disp #60. New pills are 7 mg extended release. Start 7 mg QAM, then increase by 7 mg QAM weekly until 28 mg QAM. Latest retrospective data analysis suggest that combination therapy, memantine plus a cholinesterase inhibitor, is superior to either medication alone.

- References for memantine:
  - Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 1999;14:135
  - JAMA 2004; 291:317
  - Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2008 Jul-Sep;22(3):209-21


7. **Ritalin.** A useful stimulant when attention or encoding deficits are prominent, or when fatigue, somnolence, and poor energy are issues. Also great when there is a problem with napping during the day and consequent wandering at night—much better to use a stimulant in the morning than a sleeper at night. I use the 20 mg of the sustained release formulation. Can also use Concerta 18 mg or modafinil 100 mg.

8. On-going clinical trials.

C. To slow down disease progression:

1. Lower homocysteine: Folate, B6, B12 (NEJM 2002;346:476) Can use Folgard (Folate 0.8 mg, B6 10 mg, B12 115 mcg)
2. Statins
3. Clinical trials.

D. Managing Agitation. For additional information see Section IV of Budson & Solomon, *Memory Loss, Alzheimer’s Disease, and Dementia: A Practical Guide for Clinicians*, Philadelphia: Elsevier Inc., 2016. Try to determine the underlying cause of agitation:

1. Use non-pharmacologic approaches first!!!
   i. Educate Caregiver.
   ii. Teach 3Rs: Reassure, Reconsider, Redirect
2. Agitation is often due to anxiety
   i. Start with sertraline (Zoloft) 50 to 100 mg or citalopram (Celexa) 10 to 20 mg [but watch for increased QTc] (others not as good.)
3. Manage sleep cycle disturbances
   i. Limit naps
   ii. Methylphenidate (Ritalin) SR 20 mg or modafanil (Provigil) in AM if needed.
4. Daytime agitation
   i. Risperidone (Risperdal) start 0.25 mg QD
5. Nighttime agitation
   i. Trazodone start 50 mg QHS
ii. Quetiapine (Seroquel) start 25 mg QHS
7. Consider 20 mg Dextromethorphan HBr / 10 mg Quinidine sulfate (Nuedexta)
   i. Cummings et al., JAMA. 2015;314:1242-54
   ii. Dosage: Weeks 1: 20/10 QD, 2-3: 20/10 BID, 4+: 30/10 BID
   iii. Need more dextromethorphan? Add 10 mg/5 ml BID to Nuedexta BID
   iv. Common adverse reactions: falls, diarrhea, UTI, dizziness, cough, vomiting, asthenia, peripheral edema, influenza, ↑GGT, & flatulence
8. Consider pimavanserin (Nuplazid) 40 mg QD. FDA approved to treat hallucinations and delusions associated with psychosis of Parkinson’s disease. Clinical trials of AD on-going now. (Cummings et al., Lancet. 2014 383(9916):533-40)
10. Refer to psychiatry if needed.

E. Pseudobulbar Affect
1. What it is: Crying, laughing, or showing other strong emotions for little or no reason. Crying out of proportion to mood is particularly common in AD and vascular dementia.
2. New Medication FDA approved to treat pseudobulbar affect:
   i. 20 mg Dextromethorphan HBr / 10 mg Quinidine sulfate (Nuedexta)
   ii. 1 capsule daily x 7 days, then 1 capsule Q12H
   iii. Common adverse reactions: diarrhea, dizziness, cough, vomiting, asthenia, peripheral edema, UTI, influenza, ↑GGT, & flatulence
   iv. Serious side-effects & contraindications mainly related to quinidine

F. Social Work referral often helpful for helping patients and families deal with diagnosis, day programs, nursing home and other long term care placement.

G. Early on, Cognitive Occupational Therapy can be especially helpful for those with a bit of insight, to provide alternative strategies.

   1. Patients with very mild AD have accident rates similar to 16 to 19 year old drivers (Neurology 2000;54:2205)
   2. Have family members ride as passengers; when they feel uncomfortable patient is not safe to drive.
   3. Rehabilitation hospitals have driving evaluations ($250 to $500)

I. Non-pharmacologic approaches
   1. Aerobic exercise has been proven to improve memory in healthy older adults and patients with mild Alzheimer’s disease likely related to increased production of growth factors in the brain which stimulates hippocampal stem cells.
   2. Social activities have also been shown to improve cognition in healthy older adults and patients with mild Alzheimer’s disease
3. Use of habit can be helpful. Habit learning (procedural memory, learning by doing) is intact in mild Alzheimer’s disease and therefore these patients can learn new routines and improve their lives.

J. Alzheimer’s Association: [www.alz.org](http://www.alz.org), is a great resource for patients and families.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition &amp; etiology</th>
<th>Chronic traumatic encephalopathy is a progressive neurodegenerative disease associated with repetitive brain trauma.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cognitive and behavioral symptoms, in order of prevalence at presentation | Memory impairment  
Executive dysfunction  
Attention & concentration difficulties  
Sadness/depression  
Hopelessness  
Explosivity  
Language impairment  
Visuospatial difficulties  
“Out of control”  
Physically violent  
Verbally violent  
Impulse control problems  
Suicidal ideation/attempt |
| Summary of diagnostic criteria | **General Criteria for Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome:** *All five criteria must be met*  
1) History of multiple impacts to the head.  
2) No other neurological disorder present that likely accounts for all clinical features  
3) Clinical features must be present for a minimum of 12 months  
4) At least 1 Core Clinical Features must be present and considered a change from baseline  
5) At least 2 Supportive Features must be present  

**Core Clinical Features of Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome:** *At least 1 must be met:*  
1) Cognitive. Difficulties in cognition substantiated by impairment on standardized tests  
2) Behavioral. Emotionally explosive, physically and/or verbally violent  
3) Mood. Feeling overly sad, depressed, and/or hopeless  

**Supportive Features of Traumatic Encephalopathy Syndrome:** *At least 2 must be present:* (1) Impulsivity, (2) Anxiety, (3) Apathy, (4) Paranoia, (5) Suicidality, (6) Headache, (7) Motor Signs, including dysarthria & features of parkinsonism, (8) Documented Decline, for a minimum of one year, (9) Delayed Onset, at least 2 years |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Traumatic encephalopathy syndrome diagnostic subtypes:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Behavioral/Mood Variant, (2) Cognitive Variant, (3) Mixed Variant, (4) Dementia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Imaging findings</strong></th>
<th>Cavum septum pellucidum is commonly seen on MRI or CT. Atrophy and hypofunction is typically observed in medial temporal and in frontal lobes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment</strong></td>
<td>Treatment is supportive. Cholinesterase inhibitors, memantine, and SSRIs can be tried.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top differential diagnoses</strong></td>
<td>Alzheimer's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal dementia, vascular dementia, progressive supranuclear palsy, corticobasal degeneration, and normal pressure hydrocephalus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer’s disease and mild cognitive impairment for the practical neurologist

Andrew E Budson,1,2 Paul R Solomon2,3

Abstract
Four articles in the journal Alzheimer’s and Dementia in 2011 describe new criteria for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) dementia and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to the AD pathophysiological process (MCI due to AD), as well as the underlying rationale for them. The new criteria also include preclinical AD criteria but these are intended purely for research purposes. The new criteria emphasise that the AD pathophysiological process starts years and perhaps decades before clinical symptoms, and that biomarkers can detect amyloid β deposition and the effects of neurodegeneration in the brain. The criteria are recommendations based upon consensus meetings and will require future validation. Nonetheless, the authors believe that they are immediately helpful to the practising clinician, providing more accurate and specific guidelines for the diagnosis of AD dementia and MCI due to AD. As new diagnostic tools and treatments for AD become available, diagnoses using these criteria will enable patients with AD dementia, MCI due to AD and eventually preclinical AD to receive the best possible care.

Rationale for new criteria
The National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association work group on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer’s disease have updated the 1984 Alzheimer’s disease criteria in four articles in the journal Alzheimer’s and Dementia in 2011. In these, they describe new clinical criteria for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to the AD pathophysiological process (MCI due to AD).1 Although these groups were not the first to update the 1984 criteria (see papers by Dubois and colleagues),2,3 they currently have the greatest consensus in the scientific AD community and are likely to have a lasting impact.

The new criteria were developed due to several factors that have changed since 1984:

1. The AD pathophysiological process likely starts years before cognitive changes and decades before onset of clinical dementia4 5 (figure 1). The concept of the ‘AD pathophysiological process’ is thus separated from ‘AD dementia.’

2. Many patients whose cognition is not normal for age do not meet criteria for dementia.

3. Other causes of dementia are more likely mistaken for AD than are thyroid disorders and B12 deficiency.

4. Genetics of AD are better understood.

5. Biomarkers of AD are available in some centres.

6. New criteria are needed for research.

7. Specific treatments for the AD pathophysiological process are being developed; when these treatments are available it will be critical to know if patients have that process.

There are three stages of AD:

- Preclinical AD requires measureable changes in biomarkers and/or poor performance on challenging cognitive tests.
- MCI due to AD manifests the first clinical changes. Patients and families notice mild changes in memory and other cognitive abilities; these changes can be detected through careful evaluation, but do not interfere with day-to-day activities.
Dementia due to AD is characterized by changes in two or more aspects of cognition and behaviour that interfere with function in everyday life.

One model of AD is that many factors combine to cause the accumulation of amyloid β (Aβ) in the brain, which in turn produces synaptic dysfunction, tangle formation, and neuronal death, ultimately leading to cognitive decline (Sperling et al.,)5 (figure 2). Because events in this sequence likely take years or decades, there must be a prior ‘preclinical’ stage of AD (figure 3).

Table 1 presents several currently used biomarkers of Aβ deposition or neurodegeneration. Markers of Aβ deposition include low cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Aβ42 and positive positron emission tomography (PET) amyloid imaging. Markers of neurodegeneration include elevated CSF tau (both total and hyperphosphorylated tau), decreased metabolism in temporal and parietal cortex on 18fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET, and atrophy on MRI in temporal (medial, basal, and lateral) and medial parietal cortex. In clinical practice, one tends to divide the biomarkers by how they are obtained: structural MRI, PET, and CSF studies.

Although volumetric MRI analyses are not routinely available, we encourage all clinicians to look for qualitative patterns of atrophy in temporal (medial, basal, and lateral) and medial parietal cortex.6 FDG PET scans show decreased metabolism in temporal and parietal cortex when the AD pathophysiological process has caused neurodegeneration.6 FDG PET scans are available to the clinician now (and are covered by Medicare in the USA). We do not, however, recommend using these scans routinely when the history, physical examination, cognitive testing, and structural imaging are all consistent with AD: it is simply not necessary.6 However, when one suspects an atypical neurodegenerative disease or the patient is younger than 66 years of age (when the prevalence of AD is similar to that of many other aetiologies), an FDG PET scan can help to distinguish AD from another disorder (such as...
Several compounds that can identify Aβ deposition using PET are currently being used for research and/or are under commercial development, including Pittsburgh compound B and florbetapir. Because amyloid accumulation may occur years before clinical symptoms, PET identification of Aβ raises the possibility of identifying and treating patients with AD years before symptoms emerge—once a drug has been proven to be disease-modifying.

Standard CSF biomarkers for AD are Aβ42, total tau, and hyperphosphorylated tau. When all three markers are combined, the accuracy of the diagnosis is the highest, with sensitivity and specificity of 85–90%. Although CSF analysis is already commercially available (http://www.athenadiagnostics.com) and some clinicians use it to aid diagnosis, we view this test as promising but not yet ready for routine clinical practice.

Guided by these theoretical underpinnings, the new criteria are presented for all cause dementia, AD dementia, MCI due to AD, and—for research only—preclinical AD. (See figure 4 for an overall flow chart for the evaluation of a patient with cognitive impairment.)

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; PET, positron emission tomography.

Table 1  Putative biomarkers for the AD pathophysiological process currently being used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Markers of amyloid-β (Aβ) protein deposition in the brain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Low CSF Aβ42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Positive PET amyloid imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Markers of downstream neurodegeneration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Elevated CSF tau (total and phosphorylated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Decreased metabolism in temporal and parietal cortex on 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Atrophy on MRI in temporal (medial, basal, and lateral) and medial parietal cortex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, Alzheimer's disease; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; PET, positron emission tomography.

Figure 3  Model of the clinical course of Alzheimer’s disease (from Sperling et al). MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Figure 4  Flow chart for the evaluation of a patient with cognitive impairment leading to the diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia using the new guidelines, with references to the tables (T) and steps (S) in the text.
### Table 2  Clinical and cognitive evaluation for all cause dementia and AD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1: criteria for ‘all cause dementia’</strong></td>
<td><strong>History and observation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferes with the ability to function at work or with usual abilities</td>
<td>■ Evidence of changes in functioning reported by either patient and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represents a decline from previous ability and</td>
<td>informant or observed by clinician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannot be explained by delirium or major psychiatric disorder</td>
<td><strong>History, observation, neuropsychological testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of cognitive impairment</td>
<td>■ History-taking from a knowledgeable informant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Objective mental status testing and/or neuropsychological testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Neuropsychological testing is recommended when history and mental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>status testing cannot provide a confident diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>History, observation, neuropsychological testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Impaired ability to acquire/remember new information (eg, repeating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>questions, forgetting events or appointments, becoming lost in familiar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>places)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Impaired reasoning and handling of complex tasks, poor judgement (eg,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inability to handle finances, poor decision making)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Impaired visuospatial abilities (eg, difficulty recognising faces or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>common objects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Impaired language function (speaking, reading, writing; eg, difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>thinking of common words while speaking, hesitations in speech)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Changes in personality, behaviour, comportment (eg, agitation, apathy,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>social withdrawal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>History and observation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ The fundamental difference between diagnoses of dementia versus MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>depends upon whether or not there is a significant change in the ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to function at work or in daily activities. This will necessarily require</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clinical judgment based upon the information provided by the patient and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a knowledgeable informant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2: criteria for ‘probable AD dementia’</strong></td>
<td><strong>History</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets criteria for dementia</td>
<td>■ From patient and knowledgeable informant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>History, serial neuropsychological testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insidious onset: symptoms have a gradual onset over months or years,</td>
<td>■ From patient and knowledgeable informant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not sudden over hours or days.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cut history of worsening of cognition</td>
<td><strong>History, neuropsychological testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Amnestic presentation – the most common presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Non-amnestic presentations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Language presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Visuospatial presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Executive dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial cognitive deficits are evident and most prominent in one of the</td>
<td>Diagnosis of AD should not be made when there is evidence of another</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>following categories</td>
<td>dementing illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Amnestic presentation – the most common presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>■ Non-amnestic presentations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Language presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Visuospatial presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Executive dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Diagnosis of AD should not be made when there is evidence of another</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dementing illness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Step 3: criteria for ‘probable AD dementia with increased level of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certainty’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets criteria for AD dementia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable AD dementia with documented decline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>History, serial neuropsychological testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Evidence of progressive cognitive decline on subsequent evaluations from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ knowledgeable informant or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ cognitive testing (either formal neuropsychological evaluation or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>standardised mental status examinations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See criteria above for AD dementia, step 2
**Criteria for all cause dementia and AD**

The new criteria propose four possible classifications of dementia caused by AD: (1) probable AD dementia, (2) probable AD dementia with increased level of certainty, (3) possible AD dementia and (4) probable or possible AD dementia with evidence of AD pathophysiological process.7

The new criteria suggest a four-step approach to diagnosing dementia due to AD (table 2). Step 1 determines that dementia is present, step 2 determines that the dementia is due to AD, step 3 provides an increased level of certainty to the diagnosis and step 4 evaluates the biomarker probability of AD aetiology. The authors do not advocate obtaining biomarkers for routine clinical practice.

### Table 2 Continue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probable AD dementia in a carrier of a causative AD genetic mutation</td>
<td>Laboratory studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence of an early-onset familial genetic mutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ APP, PSEN1, or PSEN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Note that the apolipoprotein E ε4 allele was not considered specific enough to meet criteria)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Biomarkers

- Although the use of biomarkers is not recommended routinely, they are available to the clinician when desired.
- There are two categories of biomarkers, those associated with Aβ protein deposition and those associated with downstream neurodegeneration (see table 1).
- We recommend routine review of CT and MRI patterns of atrophy, a marker of downstream neurodegeneration.
- Presence of one biomarker category makes the ‘biomarker probability of AD aetiology’ ‘intermediate,’ both categories must be positive for a ‘high’ probability. The ‘lowest’ probability is present if both categories are negative.

### Table 3 Clinical and cognitive evaluation for possible AD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for ‘possible AD dementia’</td>
<td>History, neuropsychological testing, imaging studies, laboratory studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical course</td>
<td>Meets the core clinical criteria in terms of the nature of the cognitive deficits for AD dementia, but either</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ has a sudden onset of cognitive impairment or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ demonstrates insufficient historical detail or objective cognitive documentation of progressive decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetiologically mixed presentation</td>
<td>History, neuropsychological testing, imaging studies, laboratory studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet all core clinical criteria for AD dementia but has evidence of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ (a) concomitant cerebrovascular disease, defined by a history of stroke temporally related to the onset or worsening of cognitive impairment; or the presence of multiple or extensive infarcts or severe white matter hyperintensity burden; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ (b) features of dementia with Lewy bodies other than the dementia itself; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ (c) evidence for another neurological disease or a non-neurological medical comorbidity or medication use that could have a substantial effect on cognition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AD, Alzheimer’s disease.**

### Table 4 Criteria for dementia unlikely to be due to AD

1. Does not meet clinical criteria for AD dementia
2. Regardless of meeting clinical criteria for probable or possible AD dementia
   a. There is sufficient evidence for an alternative diagnosis such as HIV dementia, dementia of Huntington’s disease or others that rarely overlap with AD
   b. Biomarkers for both Aβ and neuronal degeneration are negative

Aβ, amyloid β; AD, Alzheimer’s disease. Adapted from McKhann et al.7
### Table 5  Clinical and cognitive evaluation for MCI due to AD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1: establish clinical and cognitive criteria: determine that the clinical and cognitive syndrome is consistent with MCI and the patient is not demented</td>
<td>History and observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern regarding a change in cognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective evidence of impairment in one of more areas of cognition (eg, memory, attention, language, visuospatial skills, executive function)</td>
<td>Neurocognitive testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of independence in functional abilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not demented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2: examine aetiology of MCI consistent with AD pathophysiological process: determine the likely primary cause of signs and symptoms</td>
<td>History, observation, questionnaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule out other possible causes of cognitive decline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibilities include: vascular, Lewy body, other degenerative disease, traumatic, depression, medical comorbidities, mixed dementia, other (see Budson and Solomon,6 for complete list and description of the various disorders)</td>
<td>History, neurocognitive testing, imaging and laboratory studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide evidence of longitudinal decline in cognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report history consistent with AD genetic factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Evaluate for atrophy of temporal (medial, basal and lateral) and medial parietal cortex and other biomarkers when available and clinically useful | AD, Alzheimer's disease; APP, amyloid precursor protein; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; TSH, Thyroid Stimulating Hormone.

### History and observation

- Concern of a change in cognition from prior level
- Reported by patient and/or informant or observed by clinician

### Neurocognitive testing

- Impairment in episodic memory (learning and retention of new information such as word lists), the most common symptom and best predictor of progression to AD dementia
- Other cognitive areas should also be evaluated
- Sample battery: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (memory), the Trail Making Test Parts A and B (executive function), the Boston Naming Test, letter and category fluency (language), figure copying (spatial skills) and digit span forward (attention) (see Budson and Solomon,6 for discussion of these and other tests)
- Patients with MCI typically score 1–1.5 SD below the mean on cognitive tests
- Note that cognitive assessments are influenced by age, education, motivation, and cultural variation. Not all tests provide normative data taking these factors into account
- Evaluation by a neuropsychologist is appropriate and helpful in these patients with mild deficits. Brief or informal office testing may not be sensitive enough to detect deficits.

### History, questionnaires

- MCI patients maintain independence of function in daily life although they may experience more difficulty or take longer in carrying out complex tasks (eg, balancing the books, household projects, meal planning and preparation)
- Interviews with friends or family will usually detect these changes
- Standardised and validated scales completed by family or friends can be helpful (see Budson and Solomon,6 for a discussion of specific scales)

### History, observation, questionnaires

- There is no significant impairment in occupational or social function

### History, neurocognitive testing, imaging and laboratory studies

- History and testing may be consistent with various clinical phenotypes
- CT and MRI may show vascular infarcts and patterns of atrophy
- Laboratory studies (eg, B<sub>12</sub>, TSH, Lyme titre) may find other causes of cognitive deficits

### History, serial neuropsychological testing

- Documentation of progressive cognitive decline increases the probability of MCI due to AD
- Decline can be determined by history and/or neuropsychological testing

### Genotyping

- Although genotyping is not part of the routine workup for MCI or AD, if an autosomal dominant form of the gene is known to be present (ie, mutation in APP, PS1, PS2), then the development of MCI is highly likely to be the prodrome of AD
- The vast majority of these cases develop early onset AD in the patient’s 40s or 50s
- The presence of one or two ε4 alleles in the apolipoprotein E increases the risk for late onset AD

### Biomarkers

- See table 2 step 4
**REVIEW**

Figure 5  Model of how the different stages of Alzheimer’s disease may be detected by changes in various biological, cognitive and clinical markers (from Sperling et al).³  CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; FDG, ¹⁸fluorodeoxyglucose; fMRI, functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; PET, positron emission tomography.

purposes at present, although they note that they may be used when available and deemed appropriate by the clinician.

Biomarkers enable the diagnosis of ‘probable AD dementia with evidence of the AD pathophysiological process’ (table 1). If one of the two biomarker categories is positive, the ‘biomarker probability of AD aetiology’ rises to ‘intermediate,’ and if both categories are positive the probability becomes ‘high’.

‘Possible AD’ is used instead of ‘probable AD’ if the cognitive deficits look like AD but there is an atypical course (either sudden onset or no definite decline) or evidence of a mixed aetiology. Thus, the patient might meet the criteria for probable AD dementia but there is also evidence of significant vascular disease, features of dementia with Lewy bodies or other disease, or condition that could be contributing to the patient’s dementia (table 3).

The next category is pathophysiological proven AD, consisting simply of the unchanged criteria of patients meeting both the clinical and neuropathological criteria for AD. Finally, the authors discuss the criteria for dementia unlikely to be due to AD (table 4).

**Criteria for MCI due to AD**

MCI due to AD refers to the symptomatic phase of the AD pathophysiological process before the individual develops the functional impairment that defines dementia. The guidelines presented by Albert et al recognise that everyone who eventually develops AD goes through a transitional period of mild but detectable cognitive impairment.⁸ However, not everyone who is diagnosed with MCI goes on to develop AD. MCI can be due to several disorders, including vascular dementia, frontotemporal dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, and others. Furthermore, distinguishing between normal cognition and MCI, and between MCI and dementia needs clinical judgment.

The criteria for MCI due to AD include:

- excluding patients with other causes of MCI, including extensive vascular disease, frontotemporal dementia, and dementia with Lewy bodies
- including patients with increasing cognitive decline over time
- including patients with mutations associated with early-onset familial AD (amyloid precursor protein, presenilin 1 or presenilin 2).

First, criteria are presented for the clinical and cognitive syndrome of MCI, and second, criteria are presented regarding the aetiology of the MCI syndrome being consistent with AD (table 5).

Criteria for MCI due to AD incorporating biomarkers (table 1) are next presented. Biomarkers of Aβ protein deposition may help determine aetiology, and markers of neurodegeneration may aid prognosis. If one of these two biomarker categories is positive, the ‘biomarker probability of AD aetiology’ rises to ‘intermediate’; both categories must be positive for the
‘highest’ probability. The ‘lowest’ probability is present if both categories are negative.

Preclinical AD (for research only)
Sperling et al discussed three stages of preclinical AD based upon biomarkers and cognitive change (figure 5). Stage 1 is asymptomatic cerebral amyloidosis, determined by the presence of a biomarker of Aβ, without a marker of neurodegeneration or evidence of subtle cognitive change. Stage 2 is asymptomatic cerebral amyloidosis plus neurodegeneration without subtle cognitive change. Stage 3 is amyloidosis plus neurodegeneration plus subtle cognitive or behavioural decline.

Case examples
Example 1
A 78-year-old man and his wife were both concerned that his memory was not as good as it was last year. He used to be able to remember a short grocery list in his head but now he needed to write it down or he would return with the wrong items. He continued to pay the bills, balance the books and do household projects although these tasks now took him longer to complete. Evaluation of memory testing in the office showed that he scored below normal when repeating a brief story containing 10 details. His laboratory data were unremarkable, and his MRI showed bilateral atrophy in hippocampus (basal temporal lobe), lateral temporal lobe, and parietal lobe.

Because this patient had concerns about a change in cognition, objective impairment in cognition and preservation of functional abilities, he meets the criteria for MCI (table 5 step 1). Because the history and cognitive testing are of memory impairment—the main phenotype of AD—and he has atrophy of the brain in a pattern consistent with the AD pathophysiological process, he meets the criteria for MCI due to AD, with an intermediate biomarker probability of AD aetiology (table 5 step 2).

Example 2
An 84-year-old woman was brought in by her daughter. Although the patient did not believe that anything was wrong, her daughter noted that her thinking and memory had deteriorated slowly over 5 years. Her phone was disconnected because she forgot to pay the bills, and she kept buying the same canned food items whenever she went to the market. There was spoiled food in the refrigerator. When interviewed she had pauses in her speech and her daughter often filled in missing words for her. On a brief office test she was not orientated to the day, date, month, or year; she could not recall any of the few items she was instructed to remember; and she was unable to name even common items. A CT scan of head showed mild small vessel ischaemic disease (average for individuals in their 80s) and atrophy of hippocampi, lateral temporal lobes, and parietal lobes.

This patient had clear evidence of a decline in prior ability that interfered with function: she has had her phone disconnected, she bought the same food repetitively, and she had spoiled food in the refrigerator. Cognitive impairments in at least two domains (memory and language) are present. Thus, she meets criteria for ‘all cause dementia’ (table 2 step 1). That her memory deteriorated slowly over 5 years suggests a gradual onset; clear cut worsening of memory suggests an amnestic presentation, and the CT scan of head rules out a vascular dementia. There is nothing in the story to suggest another type of dementia or condition that could have a substantial effect on cognition.

Thus, this patient’s dementia meets criteria for the amnestic presentation of probable AD (table 2 step 2), and the atrophy on the CT suggests an intermediate biomarker probability of AD aetiology (table 2 step 4). There is nothing in the story either to provide an ‘increased level of certainty’ (table 2 step 3) or to suggest ‘possible’ instead of ‘probable’ AD (table 3).

Implications for the practicing clinician
These new guidelines are recommendations based upon consensus meetings and will require validation in the future. They encourage clinicians to:

- Recognise that AD is the end of a long process, spanning years or perhaps decades.
- Diagnose (and perhaps treat) AD at the earliest possible stage, at present MCI due to AD, but eventually (with new disease-modifying medications) preclinical AD. (Note that the criteria for preclinical AD are currently intended purely for research purposes.)

Suggestions for further reading
REVIEW

- Consider using biomarkers in the diagnosis of all stages of AD. Our current recommendation is to use biomarkers for those cases that present diagnostic quandaries.6
- Evaluate patients with cognitive impairment and dementia to determine etiology, with special attention to amnestic and non-amnestic presentations of AD.
- Remember that because of the ageing population, numbers of patients with all stages of AD will likely triple in the next 50 years.
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### MONTREAL COGNITIVE ASSESSMENT (MOCA)

**VISUOSPATIAL / EXECUTIVE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>FACE</th>
<th>VELVET</th>
<th>CHURCH</th>
<th>DAISY</th>
<th>RED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MEMORY**  
Read list of words, subject must repeat them. Do 2 trials, even if 1st trial is successful. Do a recall after 5 minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>No points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ATTENTION**  
Read list of digits (1 digit/sec.).

- Subject has to repeat them in the forward order
- Subject has to repeat them in the backward order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ] 2 1 8 5 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Read list of letters. The subject must tap with his hand at each letter A. No points if ≥2 errors.

|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------|

Serial 7 subtraction starting at 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ] 93</th>
<th>[ ] 86</th>
<th>[ ] 79</th>
<th>[ ] 72</th>
<th>[ ] 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4 or 5 correct subtractions: 3 pts, 2 or 3 correct: 2 pts, 1 correct: 1 pt, 0 correct: 0 pt

**LANGUAGE**  
Repeat: I only know that John is the one to help today.  
The cat always hid under the couch when dogs were in the room.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Fluency / Name maximum number of words in one minute that begin with the letter F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ] (N ≥11 words)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ABSTRACTION**  
Similarity between e.g. banana - orange = fruit  
train - bicycle  
watch - ruler

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DELAYED RECALL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
<th>[ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ORIENTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>[ ] Date</th>
<th>[ ] Month</th>
<th>[ ] Year</th>
<th>[ ] Day</th>
<th>[ ] Place</th>
<th>[ ] City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Administered by: ________________________________________________
Montreal Cognitive Assessment
(MoCA)

Administration and Scoring Instructions

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was designed as a rapid screening instrument for mild cognitive dysfunction. It assesses different cognitive domains: attention and concentration, executive functions, memory, language, visuoconstructional skills, conceptual thinking, calculations, and orientation. Time to administer the MoCA is approximately 10 minutes. The total possible score is 30 points; a score of 26 or above is considered normal.

1. **Alternating Trail Making:**
   **Administration:** The examiner instructs the subject: "Please draw a line, going from a number to a letter in ascending order. Begin here [point to (1)] and draw a line from 1 then to A then to 2 and so on. End here [point to (E)]."

   **Scoring:** Allocate one point if the subject successfully draws the following pattern: 1 –A- 2- B- 3- C- 4- D- 5- E, without drawing any lines that cross. Any error that is not immediately self-corrected earns a score of 0.

2. **Visuoconstructional Skills (Cube):**
   **Administration:** The examiner gives the following instructions, pointing to the cube: “Copy this drawing as accurately as you can, in the space below”.

   **Scoring:** One point is allocated for a correctly executed drawing.
   - Drawing must be three-dimensional
   - All lines are drawn
   - No line is added
   - Lines are relatively parallel and their length is similar (rectangular prisms are accepted)
   
   A point is not assigned if any of the above-criteria are not met.

3. **Visuoconstructional Skills (Clock):**
   **Administration:** Indicate the right third of the space and give the following instructions: “Draw a clock. Put in all the numbers and set the time to 10 after 11”.

   **Scoring:** One point is allocated for each of the following three criteria:
   - Contour (1 pt.): the clock face must be a circle with only minor distortion acceptable (e.g., slight imperfection on closing the circle);
   - Numbers (1 pt.): all clock numbers must be present with no additional numbers; numbers must be in the correct order and placed in the approximate quadrants on the clock face; Roman numerals are acceptable; numbers can be placed outside the circle contour;
   - Hands (1 pt.): there must be two hands jointly indicating the correct time; the hour hand must be clearly shorter than the minute hand; hands must be centred within the clock face with their junction close to the clock centre.
   
   A point is not assigned for a given element if any of the above-criteria are not met.
4. **Naming:**

**Administration:** Beginning on the left, point to each figure and say: “Tell me the name of this animal”.

**Scoring:** One point each is given for the following responses: (1) camel or dromedary, (2) lion, (3) rhinoceros or rhino.

5. **Memory:**

**Administration:** The examiner reads a list of 5 words at a rate of one per second, giving the following instructions: “This is a memory test. I am going to read a list of words that you will have to remember now and later on. Listen carefully. When I am through, tell me as many words as you can remember. It doesn’t matter in what order you say them.” Mark a check in the allocated space for each word the subject produces on this first trial. When the subject indicates that (s)he has finished (has recalled all words), or can recall no more words, read the list a second time with the following instructions: “I am going to read the same list for a second time. Try to remember and tell me as many words as you can, including words you said the first time.” Put a check in the allocated space for each word the subject recalls after the second trial. At the end of the second trial, inform the subject that (s)he will be asked to recall these words again by saying, “I will ask you to recall those words again at the end of the test.”

**Scoring:** No points are given for Trials One and Two.

6. **Attention:**

**Forward Digit Span:** **Administration:** Give the following instruction: “I am going to say some numbers and when I am through, repeat them to me exactly as I said them”. Read the five number sequence at a rate of one digit per second.

**Backward Digit Span:** **Administration:** Give the following instruction: “Now I am going to say some more numbers, but when I am through you must repeat them to me in the backwards order.” Read the three number sequence at a rate of one digit per second.

**Scoring:** Allocate one point for each sequence correctly repeated, (N.B.: the correct response for the backwards trial is 2-4-7).

**Vigilance:** **Administration:** The examiner reads the list of letters at a rate of one per second, after giving the following instruction: “I am going to read a sequence of letters. Every time I say the letter A, tap your hand once. If I say a different letter, do not tap your hand”.

**Scoring:** Give one point if there is zero to one errors (an error is a tap on a wrong letter or a failure to tap on letter A).
Serial 7s: Administration: The examiner gives the following instruction: “Now, I will ask you to count by subtracting seven from 100, and then, keep subtracting seven from your answer until I tell you to stop.” Give this instruction twice if necessary.

Scoring: This item is scored out of 3 points. Give no (0) points for no correct subtractions, 1 point for one correction subtraction, 2 points for two-to-three correct subtractions, and 3 points if the participant successfully makes four or five correct subtractions. Count each correct subtraction of 7 beginning at 100. Each subtraction is evaluated independently; that is, if the participant responds with an incorrect number but continues to correctly subtract 7 from it, give a point for each correct subtraction. For example, a participant may respond “92 – 85 – 78 – 71 – 64” where the “92” is incorrect, but all subsequent numbers are subtracted correctly. This is one error and the item would be given a score of 3.

7. Sentence repetition:

Administration: The examiner gives the following instructions: “I am going to read you a sentence. Repeat it after me, exactly as I say it [pause]: I only know that John is the one to help today.” Following the response, say: “Now I am going to read you another sentence. Repeat it after me, exactly as I say it [pause]: The cat always hid under the couch when dogs were in the room.”

Scoring: Allocate 1 point for each sentence correctly repeated. Repetition must be exact. Be alert for errors that are omissions (e.g., omitting "only", "always") and substitutions/additions (e.g., "John is the one who helped today;" substituting "hides" for "hid", altering plurals, etc.).

8. Verbal fluency:

Administration: The examiner gives the following instruction: “Tell me as many words as you can think of that begin with a certain letter of the alphabet that I will tell you in a moment. You can say any kind of word you want, except for proper nouns (like Bob or Boston), numbers, or words that begin with the same sound but have a different suffix, for example, love, lover, loving. I will tell you to stop after one minute. Are you ready? [Pause] Now, tell me as many words as you can think of that begin with the letter F. [time for 60 sec]. Stop.”

Scoring: Allocate one point if the subject generates 11 words or more in 60 sec. Record the subject’s response in the bottom or side margins.

9. Abstraction:

Administration: The examiner asks the subject to explain what each pair of words has in common, starting with the example: “Tell me how an orange and a banana are alike”. If the subject answers in a concrete manner, then say only one additional time: “Tell me another way in which those items are alike”. If the subject does not give the appropriate response (fruit), say, “Yes, and they are also both fruit.” Do not give any additional instructions or clarification.

After the practice trial, say: “Now, tell me how a train and a bicycle are alike”. Following the response, administer the second trial, saying: “Now tell me how a ruler and a watch are alike”. Do not give any additional instructions or prompts.
Scoring: Only the last two item pairs are scored. Give 1 point to each item pair correctly answered. The following responses are acceptable:
- Train-bicycle = means of transportation, means of travelling, you take trips in both;
- Ruler-watch = measuring instruments, used to measure.

The following responses are not acceptable: Train-bicycle = they have wheels; Ruler-watch = they have numbers.

10. **Delayed recall:**

   **Administration:** The examiner gives the following instruction: “*I read some words to you earlier, which I asked you to remember. Tell me as many of those words as you can remember.* Make a check mark (✓) for each of the words correctly recalled spontaneously without any cues, in the allocated space.

   **Scoring:** Allocate 1 point for each word recalled freely without any cues.

   **Optional:**

   Following the delayed free recall trial, prompt the subject with the semantic category cue provided below for any word not recalled. Make a check mark (✓) in the allocated space if the subject remembered the word with the help of a category or multiple-choice cue. Prompt all non-recalled words in this manner. If the subject does not recall the word after the category cue, give him/her a multiple choice trial, using the following example instruction, “*Which of the following words do you think it was, NOSE, FACE, or HAND?*”

   Use the following category and/or multiple-choice cues for each word, when appropriate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Category Cue</th>
<th>Multiple Choice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACE</td>
<td>part of the body</td>
<td>nose, face, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VELVET</td>
<td>type of fabric</td>
<td>denim, cotton, velvet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHURCH</td>
<td>type of building</td>
<td>church, school, hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAISY</td>
<td>type of flower</td>
<td>rose, daisy, tulip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>a colour</td>
<td>red, blue, green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   **Scoring:** No points are allocated for words recalled with a cue. A cue is used for clinical information purposes only and can give the test interpreter additional information about the type of memory disorder. For memory deficits due to retrieval failures, performance can be improved with a cue. For memory deficits due to encoding failures, performance does not improve with a cue.

11. **Orientation:**

   **Administration:** The examiner gives the following instructions: “*Tell me the date today*”. If the subject does not give a complete answer, then prompt accordingly by saying: “*Tell me the [year, month, exact date, and day of the week].*” Then say: “*Now, tell me the name of this place, and which city it is in.*”

   **Scoring:** Give one point for each item correctly answered. The subject must tell the exact date and the exact place (name of hospital, clinic, office). No points are allocated if subject makes an error of one day for the day and date.

   **TOTAL SCORE:** Sum all subscores listed on the right-hand side. Add one point for an individual who has 12 years or fewer of formal education, for a possible maximum of 30 points. A final total score of 26 and above is considered normal.
## AD8 Dementia Screening Interview

Remember, “Yes, a change” indicates that there has been a change in the last several years caused by cognitive (thinking and memory) problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES, A change</th>
<th>NO, No change</th>
<th>N/A, Don’t know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Problems with judgment (e.g., problems making decisions, bad financial decisions, problems with thinking)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Less interest in hobbies/activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Repeats the same things over and over (questions, stories, or statements)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Trouble learning how to use a tool, appliance, or gadget (e.g., VCR, computer, microwave, remote control)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Forgets correct month or year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Trouble handling complicated financial affairs (e.g., balancing checkbook, income taxes, paying bills)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Trouble remembering appointments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <strong>Daily</strong> problems with thinking and/or memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL AD8 SCORE**

Adapted from Galvin JE et al, The AD8, a brief informant interview to detect dementia, Neurology 2005:65:559-564

Copyright 2005. The AD8 is a copyrighted instrument of the Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri. All Rights Reserved.
The AD8 Administration and Scoring Guidelines

A spontaneous self-correction is allowed for all responses without counting as an error.

The questions are given to the respondent on a clipboard for self-administration or can be read aloud to the respondent either in person or over the phone. It is preferable to administer the AD8 to an informant, if available. If an informant is not available, the AD8 may be administered to the patient.

When administered to an informant, specifically ask the respondent to rate change in the patient.

When administered to the patient, specifically ask the patient to rate changes in his/her ability for each of the items, without attributing causality.

If read aloud to the respondent, it is important for the clinician to carefully read the phrase as worded and give emphasis to note changes due to cognitive problems (not physical problems). There should be a one second delay between individual items.

No timeframe for change is required.

The final score is a sum of the number items marked “Yes, A change”.

Interpretation of the AD8 (Adapted from Galvin JE et al, The AD8, a brief informant interview to detect dementia, Neurology 2005:65:559-564)

A screening test in itself is insufficient to diagnose a dementing disorder. The AD8 is, however, quite sensitive to detecting early cognitive changes associated many common dementing illness including Alzheimer disease, vascular dementia, Lewy body dementia and frontotemporal dementia.

Scores in the impaired range (see below) indicate a need for further assessment. Scores in the “normal” range suggest that a dementing disorder is unlikely, but a very early disease process cannot be ruled out. More advanced assessment may be warranted in cases where other objective evidence of impairment exists.

Based on clinical research findings from 995 individuals included in the development and validation samples, the following cut points are provided:

- 0 – 1: Normal cognition
- 2 or greater: Cognitive impairment is likely to be present

Administered to either the informant (preferable) or the patient, the AD8 has the following properties:

- Sensitivity >84%
- Specificity >80%
- Positive Predictive Value > 85%
- Negative Predictive Value > 70%
- Area under the Curve: 0.908; 95%CI: 0.888-0.925
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